

Solecki submeasures and densities on groups

Taras Banach

Kielce-Lviv

Hejnice, 2013

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A function $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a power-set of a set X is called:

- *monotone* if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A \subset B$ of X ;
- *subadditive* if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- *additive* if $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for any disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$;
- a *density* on X if μ is monotone, $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu(X) = 1$;
- a *submeasure* if μ is a subadditive density on X ;
- a *measure* if μ is an additive density on X .

So, all our measures are, in fact, finitely additive probability measures.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Definition

A density $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on a group G is called

- *left invariant* if $\mu(xA) = \mu(A)$ for all $x \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *right invariant* if $\mu(Ay) = \mu(A)$ for all $y \in G$ and $A \subset G$;
- *invariant* if $\mu(xAy) = \mu(A)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $A \subset X$;
- *inversely invariant* if $\mu(A^{-1}) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \subset X$;
- *auto invariant* if $\mu(h(A)) = \mu(A)$ for any automorphism $h : G \rightarrow G$ and any subset $A \subset X$.

Haar measure of compact topological groups

Theorem (Haar, 1933)

Each compact topological group possesses a unique invariant probability σ -additive regular Borel measure $\lambda : \mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of G .

The uniqueness of λ implies that it is inversely and autoinvariant.

Problem

What about discrete groups? Do they have any canonical (sub)measures?

Theorem (Haar, 1933)

Each compact topological group possesses a unique invariant probability σ -additive regular Borel measure $\lambda : \mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of G .

The uniqueness of λ implies that it is inversely and autoinvariant.

Problem

What about discrete groups? Do they have any canonical (sub)measures?

Theorem (Haar, 1933)

Each compact topological group possesses a unique invariant probability σ -additive regular Borel measure $\lambda : \mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined on the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of G .

The uniqueness of λ implies that it is inversely and autoinvariant.

Problem

What about discrete groups? Do they have any canonical (sub)measures?

Theorem (Banach, 1923)

There exists an invariant measure on the group of integers \mathbb{Z} .

Definition (von Neuman, 1929; Day, 1949)

A group G is called **amenable** if it admits a left-invariant measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Fact (Classics)

- *Each abelian group **is** amenable;*
- *A non-commutative free group **is not** amenable.*

Theorem (Banach, 1923)

There exists an invariant measure on the group of integers \mathbb{Z} .

Definition (von Neuman, 1929; Day, 1949)

A group G is called **amenable** if it admits a left-invariant measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Fact (Classics)

- *Each abelian group is amenable;*
- *A non-commutative free group is not amenable.*

Theorem (Banach, 1923)

There exists an invariant measure on the group of integers \mathbb{Z} .

Definition (von Neuman, 1929; Day, 1949)

A group G is called **amenable** if it admits a left-invariant measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Fact (Classics)

- *Each abelian group **is** amenable;*
- *A non-commutative free group **is not** amenable.*

Theorem (Banach, 1923)

There exists an invariant measure on the group of integers \mathbb{Z} .

Definition (von Neuman, 1929; Day, 1949)

A group G is called **amenable** if it admits a left-invariant measure $\mu : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Fact (Classics)

- *Each abelian group **is** amenable;*
- *A non-commutative free group **is not** amenable.*

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes! $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes! $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes! $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes!
$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes!
$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes!
$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Invariant submeasures?

Conclusion: There are groups admitting no invariant measure :(

Problem

What about invariant submeasures?

Do they always exist on any group?

Yes! $\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ But this is trivial :(

Problem

*Are there any canonical **non-trivial** and **useful** invariant submeasure on a group?*

Yes!! 😊

Solecki submeasure on a group

Each group G possesses a canonical invariant submeasure $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x, y \in G} \frac{|F \cap xAy|}{|F|}.$$

This submeasure is inversely and auto invariant.

The submeasure σ was thoroughly studied by Solecki and because of that we decided to name it **the Solecki submeasure**.

Example

The subset $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} has Solecki submeasure $\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Solecki submeasure on a group

Each group G possesses a canonical invariant submeasure $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x, y \in G} \frac{|F \cap xAy|}{|F|}.$$

This submeasure is inversely and auto invariant.

The submeasure σ was thoroughly studied by Solecki and because of that we decided to name it **the Solecki submeasure**.

Example

The subset $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} has Solecki submeasure $\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Solecki submeasure on a group

Each group G possesses a canonical invariant submeasure $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x, y \in G} \frac{|F \cap xAy|}{|F|}.$$

This submeasure is inversely and auto invariant.

The submeasure σ was thoroughly studied by Solecki and because of that we decided to name it **the Solecki submeasure**.

Example

The subset $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} has Solecki submeasure $\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Solecki submeasure on a group

Each group G possesses a canonical invariant submeasure $\sigma : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x, y \in G} \frac{|F \cap xAy|}{|F|}.$$

This submeasure is inversely and auto invariant.

The submeasure σ was thoroughly studied by Solecki and because of that we decided to name it **the Solecki submeasure**.

Example

The subset $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{Z} has Solecki submeasure $\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

An alternative definition of the Solecki submeasure

The Solecki submeasure can be alternatively defined using finitely supported measures on G instead of finite subsets of G .

A measure μ on a set X is **finitely supported** if $\mu(F) = 1$ for some finite subset F . In this case it can be written as the convex combination $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$ of Dirac measures.

By $P(X)$ we denote the set of all measures on a set X and by $P_\omega(X)$ its subset consisting of finitely supported measures on X .

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

Any subset A of a group G has Solecki submeasure

$$\sigma(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{x, y \in G} \mu(xAy).$$

This theorem implies that σ is subadditive.

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure

Given any subsets $A, B \subset G$ we need to prove that

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Using the equivalent definition of the Solecki submeasures, find two finitely supported probability measures $\mu_A, \mu_B \in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\max_{x, y \in G} \mu_A(xAy) < \sigma(A) + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x, y \in G} \mu_B(xBy) < \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.$$

Write $\mu_A = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ and $\mu_B = \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}$ and consider the convolution measure

$$\mu = \mu_A * \mu_B = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}.$$

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure

Given any subsets $A, B \subset G$ we need to prove that

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Using the equivalent definition of the Solecki submeasures, find two finitely supported probability measures $\mu_A, \mu_B \in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\max_{x, y \in G} \mu_A(xAy) < \sigma(A) + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x, y \in G} \mu_B(xBy) < \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.$$

Write $\mu_A = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ and $\mu_B = \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}$ and consider the convolution measure

$$\mu = \mu_A * \mu_B = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}.$$

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure

Given any subsets $A, B \subset G$ we need to prove that

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Using the equivalent definition of the Solecki submeasures, find two finitely supported probability measures $\mu_A, \mu_B \in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\max_{x, y \in G} \mu_A(xAy) < \sigma(A) + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x, y \in G} \mu_B(xBy) < \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.$$

Write $\mu_A = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ and $\mu_B = \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}$ and consider the convolution measure

$$\mu = \mu_A * \mu_B = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}.$$

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure

Given any subsets $A, B \subset G$ we need to prove that

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Using the equivalent definition of the Solecki submeasures, find two finitely supported probability measures $\mu_A, \mu_B \in P_\omega(G)$ such that

$$\max_{x, y \in G} \mu_A(xAy) < \sigma(A) + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{x, y \in G} \mu_B(xBy) < \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.$$

Write $\mu_A = \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$ and $\mu_B = \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}$ and consider the convolution measure

$$\mu = \mu_A * \mu_B = \sum_{i, j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}.$$

Observe that for any $x, y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xAy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xAy) = \sum_j \beta_j \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}(xAy b_j^{-1}) = \\ &= \sum_j \beta_j \mu_A(xAy b_j) < \sum_j \beta_j (\sigma(A) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(A) + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xBy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xBy) = \sum_i \alpha_i \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}(a_i^{-1}xBy) = \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_B(a_i^{-1}xBy) < \sum_i \alpha_i (\sigma(B) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \mu(xAy) + \mu(xBy) < \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

and

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sup_{x,y \in G} \mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Observe that for any $x, y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xAy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xAy) = \sum_j \beta_j \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}(xAy b_j^{-1}) = \\ &= \sum_j \beta_j \mu_A(xAy b_j) < \sum_j \beta_j (\sigma(A) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(A) + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xBy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xBy) = \sum_i \alpha_i \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}(a_i^{-1}xBy) = \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_B(a_i^{-1}xBy) < \sum_i \alpha_i (\sigma(B) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \mu(xAy) + \mu(xBy) < \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

and

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sup_{x,y \in G} \mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Observe that for any $x, y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xAy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xAy) = \sum_j \beta_j \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}(xAy b_j^{-1}) = \\ &= \sum_j \beta_j \mu_A(xAy b_j) < \sum_j \beta_j (\sigma(A) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(A) + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xBy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xBy) = \sum_i \alpha_i \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}(a_i^{-1}xBy) = \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_B(a_i^{-1}xBy) < \sum_i \alpha_i (\sigma(B) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \mu(xAy) + \mu(xBy) < \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

and

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sup_{x,y \in G} \mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Observe that for any $x, y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xAy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xAy) = \sum_j \beta_j \sum_i \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}(xAy b_j^{-1}) = \\ &= \sum_j \beta_j \mu_A(xA y b_j) < \sum_j \beta_j (\sigma(A) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(A) + \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(xBy) &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j \delta_{a_i b_j}(xBy) = \sum_i \alpha_i \sum_j \beta_j \delta_{b_j}(a_i^{-1} xBy) = \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_B(a_i^{-1} xBy) < \sum_i \alpha_i (\sigma(B) + \varepsilon) = \sigma(B) + \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \mu(xAy) + \mu(xBy) < \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon$$

and

$$\sigma(A \cup B) \leq \sup_{x,y \in G} \mu(x(A \cup B)y) \leq \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\sigma^L(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} \quad \sigma^R(A) = \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|}$$
$$\sigma_L(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) \quad \sigma_R(A) = \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R.$$

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Left and right Solecki densities

The Solecki submeasure has natural left and right modifications called the **left** and **right Solecki densities**:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^L(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{x \in G} \frac{|F \cap xA|}{|F|} & \sigma^R(A) &= \inf_{F \in [G]^{<\omega}} \max_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \\ \sigma_L(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{x \in X} \mu(xA) & \sigma_R(A) &= \inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \max_{y \in X} \mu(Ay)\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma_L \leq \sigma^L \leq \sigma \geq \sigma^R \geq \sigma_R$.

If the group G is abelian, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.

The densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma_R, \sigma^R$ are (auto) invariant but not inversely invariant in general. However

$$\sigma_L(A^{-1}) = \sigma_R(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A).$$

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 *A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.*
- 2 *If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.*
- 3 *If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.*

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 *A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.*
- 2 *If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.*
- 3 *If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.*

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.
- 2 If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.
- 3 If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.
- 2 If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.
- 3 If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.
- 2 If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.
- 3 If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.

Solecki submeasures versus Solecki densities

A group G is called an **FC-group** if each $x \in G$ has finite conjugacy class $x^G = \{gxg^{-1} : g \in G\}$.

abelian group \Rightarrow FC-group \Rightarrow amenable group

Theorem (Solecki, 2005)

- 1 A group G is an FC-group if and only if $\sigma_L = \sigma^L = \sigma = \sigma^R = \sigma_R$.
- 2 If G is an amenable group, then $\sigma_L = \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R = \sigma^R$ are subadditive.
- 3 If $G = F_2$ is a free group, then $\sigma_L \neq \sigma^L$ and $\sigma_R \neq \sigma^R$ and the densities $\sigma_L, \sigma^L, \sigma^R, \sigma_R$ are not subadditive.

The Solecki densities are not subadditive on the free group F_2

In the free group $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ consider the set A of irreducible words that start with a or a^{-1} .

The set A has right Solecki density $\sigma^R(A) = 0$ since for every set $F = \{b, b^2, \dots, b^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\sup_{y \in G} |F \cap Ay| \leq 1$ which implies $\sigma^R(A) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By analogy we can prove that $\sigma^R(A) = 0$.

Then $\sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A) = 0$ and $\sigma^L(B^{-1}) = \sigma^R(B) = 0$ and

$$F_2 = (A \cap A^{-1}) \cup (A \cap B^{-1}) \cup (B \cap A^{-1}) \cup (B \cap B^{-1})$$

is the union of four sets whose left and right Solecki densities are zero.

The Solecki densities are not subadditive on the free group F_2

In the free group $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ consider the set A of irreducible words that start with a or a^{-1} .

The set A has right Solecki density $\sigma^R(A) = 0$ since for every set $F = \{b, b^2, \dots, b^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\sup_{y \in G} |F \cap Ay| \leq 1$ which implies $\sigma^R(A) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By analogy we can prove that $\sigma^R(A) = 0$.

Then $\sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A) = 0$ and $\sigma^L(B^{-1}) = \sigma^R(B) = 0$ and

$$F_2 = (A \cap A^{-1}) \cup (A \cap B^{-1}) \cup (B \cap A^{-1}) \cup (B \cap B^{-1})$$

is the union of four sets whose left and right Solecki densities are zero.

The Solecki densities are not subadditive on the free group F_2

In the free group $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ consider the set A of irreducible words that start with a or a^{-1} .

The set A has right Solecki density $\sigma^R(A) = 0$ since for every set $F = \{b, b^2, \dots, b^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\sup_{y \in G} |F \cap Ay| \leq 1$ which implies $\sigma^R(A) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By analogy we can prove that $\sigma^R(A) = 0$.

Then $\sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A) = 0$ and $\sigma^L(B^{-1}) = \sigma^R(B) = 0$ and

$$F_2 = (A \cap A^{-1}) \cup (A \cap B^{-1}) \cup (B \cap A^{-1}) \cup (B \cap B^{-1})$$

is the union of four sets whose left and right Solecki densities are zero.

The Solecki densities are not subadditive on the free group F_2

In the free group $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ consider the set A of irreducible words that start with a or a^{-1} .

The set A has right Solecki density $\sigma^R(A) = 0$ since for every set $F = \{b, b^2, \dots, b^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\sup_{y \in G} |F \cap Ay| \leq 1$ which implies $\sigma^R(A) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By analogy we can prove that $\sigma^R(A) = 0$.

Then $\sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A) = 0$ and $\sigma^L(B^{-1}) = \sigma^R(B) = 0$ and

$$F_2 = (A \cap A^{-1}) \cup (A \cap B^{-1}) \cup (B \cap A^{-1}) \cup (B \cap B^{-1})$$

is the union of four sets whose left and right Solecki densities are zero.

The Solecki densities are not subadditive on the free group F_2

In the free group $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ consider the set A of irreducible words that start with a or a^{-1} .

The set A has right Solecki density $\sigma^R(A) = 0$ since for every set $F = \{b, b^2, \dots, b^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\sup_{y \in G} |F \cap Ay| \leq 1$ which implies $\sigma^R(A) \leq \sup_{y \in G} \frac{|F \cap Ay|}{|F|} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By analogy we can prove that $\sigma^R(A) = 0$.

Then $\sigma^L(A^{-1}) = \sigma^R(A) = 0$ and $\sigma^L(B^{-1}) = \sigma^R(B) = 0$ and

$$F_2 = (A \cap A^{-1}) \cup (A \cap B^{-1}) \cup (B \cap A^{-1}) \cup (B \cap B^{-1})$$

is the union of four sets whose left and right Solecki densities are zero.

A minimax characterization of Solecki densities

The **Kelley intersection number** $I(\mathcal{F})$ of a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set X is defined as

$$I(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in X} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{F_i}(x).$$

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a subset A of a group G we get

$$\inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ay) = \sigma_R(A) = I(\{xA\}_{x \in G}) = \sup_{\mu \in P(G)} \inf_{x \in G} \mu(xA).$$

Here $P(G)$ stands for the set of all (finitely additive probability) measures on X .

A minimax characterization of Solecki densities

The **Kelley intersection number** $I(\mathcal{F})$ of a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set X is defined as

$$I(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{x \in X} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{F_i}(x).$$

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a subset A of a group G we get

$$\inf_{\mu \in P_\omega(G)} \sup_{y \in G} \mu(Ay) = \sigma_R(A) = I(\{xA\}_{x \in G}) = \sup_{\mu \in P(G)} \inf_{x \in G} \mu(xA).$$

Here $P(G)$ stands for the set of all (finitely additive probability) measures on X .

The upper Banach density on an amenable group

The **upper Banach density** $d^*(A)$ of a subset A of an amenable group G is defined as

$$d^*(A) = \sup_{\mu \in P_l(G)} \mu(A)$$

where $P_l(G)$ denotes the set of all left-invariant measures on X .

It is clear that the upper Banach density $d^* : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a left-invariant submeasure on each amenable group G .

The Minimax Theorem describing the right Solecki density implies:

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any amenable group G we get $\sigma_R = \sigma^R = d^$.*

Consequently the right Solecki density on G is subadditive.

The upper Banach density on an amenable group

The **upper Banach density** $d^*(A)$ of a subset A of an amenable group G is defined as

$$d^*(A) = \sup_{\mu \in P_l(G)} \mu(A)$$

where $P_l(G)$ denotes the set of all left-invariant measures on X .

It is clear that the upper Banach density $d^* : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a left-invariant submeasure on each amenable group G .

The Minimax Theorem describing the right Solecki density implies:

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any amenable group G we get $\sigma_R = \sigma^R = d^$.*

Consequently the right Solecki density on G is subadditive.

The upper Banach density on an amenable group

The **upper Banach density** $d^*(A)$ of a subset A of an amenable group G is defined as

$$d^*(A) = \sup_{\mu \in P_l(G)} \mu(A)$$

where $P_l(G)$ denotes the set of all left-invariant measures on X .

It is clear that the upper Banach density $d^* : \mathcal{P}(G) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a left-invariant submeasure on each amenable group G .

The Minimax Theorem describing the right Solecki density implies:

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any amenable group G we get $\sigma_R = \sigma^R = d^$.*

Consequently the right Solecki density on G is subadditive.

Solecki-amenable groups

Definition

A group G is **Solecki-amenable** if its Solecki density σ_R is subadditive.

Amenable group \Rightarrow Solecki-amenable

Problem (Solecki, 2005)

Is each Solecki-amenable group amenable?

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a group G the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 G is amenable;
- 2 $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ is Solecki-amenable;
- 3 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite group F of cardinality $|F| \geq n$ such that the product $G \times F$ is a Solecki-amenable group;
- 4 $\sigma_R(f) + \sigma_R(1 - f) \geq 1$ for any fuzzy set $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Solecki-amenable groups

Definition

A group G is **Solecki-amenable** if its Solecki density σ_R is subadditive.

Amenable group \Rightarrow Solecki-amenable

Problem (Solecki, 2005)

Is each Solecki-amenable group amenable?

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a group G the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 G is amenable;
- 2 $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ is Solecki-amenable;
- 3 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite group F of cardinality $|F| \geq n$ such that the product $G \times F$ is a Solecki-amenable group;
- 4 $\sigma_R(f) + \sigma_R(1 - f) \geq 1$ for any fuzzy set $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Solecki-amenable groups

Definition

A group G is **Solecki-amenable** if its Solecki density σ_R is subadditive.

Amenable group \Rightarrow Solecki-amenable

Problem (Solecki, 2005)

Is each Solecki-amenable group amenable?

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a group G the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 G is amenable;
- 2 $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ is Solecki-amenable;
- 3 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite group F of cardinality $|F| \geq n$ such that the product $G \times F$ is a Solecki-amenable group;
- 4 $\sigma_R(f) + \sigma_R(1 - f) \geq 1$ for any fuzzy set $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Solecki-amenable groups

Definition

A group G is **Solecki-amenable** if its Solecki density σ_R is subadditive.

Amenable group \Rightarrow Solecki-amenable

Problem (Solecki, 2005)

Is each Solecki-amenable group amenable?

Theorem (B., 2012)

For a group G the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 G is amenable;
- 2 $G \times \mathbb{Z}$ is Solecki-amenable;
- 3 for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite group F of cardinality $|F| \geq n$ such that the product $G \times F$ is a Solecki-amenable group;
- 4 $\sigma_R(f) + \sigma_R(1 - f) \geq 1$ for any fuzzy set $f : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **Solecki null** if $\sigma(A) = 0$;
- **Solecki positive** if $\sigma(A) > 0$;
- **Solecki one** if $\sigma(A) = 1$.

Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is Solecki one if and only if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there are points $x, y \in G$ such that $xFy \subset A$.

Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **Solecki null** if $\sigma(A) = 0$;
- **Solecki positive** if $\sigma(A) > 0$;
- **Solecki one** if $\sigma(A) = 1$.

Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is Solecki one if and only if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there are points $x, y \in G$ such that $xFy \subset A$.

Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **Solecki null** if $\sigma(A) = 0$;
- **Solecki positive** if $\sigma(A) > 0$;
- **Solecki one** if $\sigma(A) = 1$.

Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is Solecki one if and only if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there are points $x, y \in G$ such that $xFy \subset A$.

Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **Solecki null** if $\sigma(A) = 0$;
- **Solecki positive** if $\sigma(A) > 0$;
- **Solecki one** if $\sigma(A) = 1$.

Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is Solecki one if and only if for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there are points $x, y \in G$ such that $xFy \subset A$.

The ideal of Solecki null sets

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure σ implies that the Solecki null sets of a group G form an invariant ideal \mathcal{S}_G on G .

Problem

*Given a group G , study the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G .
In particular, calculate its cardinal characteristics*

$$\text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} = \cup \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset G, \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \forall A \in \mathcal{S}_G \exists C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ with } A \subset C\}.$$

The ideal of Solecki null sets

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure σ implies that the Solecki null sets of a group G form an invariant ideal \mathcal{S}_G on G .

Problem

Given a group G , study the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G .

In particular, calculate its cardinal characteristics

$$\text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} = \cup \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset G, \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \forall A \in \mathcal{S}_G \exists C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ with } A \subset C\}.$$

The ideal of Solecki null sets

The subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure σ implies that the Solecki null sets of a group G form an invariant ideal \mathcal{S}_G on G .

Problem

*Given a group G , study the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G .
In particular, calculate its cardinal characteristics*

$$\text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \cup \mathcal{A} = \cup \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset G, \mathcal{A} \notin \mathcal{S}_G\},$$

$$\text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \min\{|\mathcal{C}| : \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}_G, \forall A \in \mathcal{S}_G \exists C \in \mathcal{C} \text{ with } A \subset C\}.$$

Cardinal characteristics of the ideal of Solecki null sets

For each infinite group G we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & 2^{|G|} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \omega & \longrightarrow & \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & |G| \end{array}$$

Example (Not exciting)

For any infinite countable group G

$$\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) < \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G).$$

If G is abelian, then $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ and $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = |G|$.

Example (Exciting)

For any infinite cardinal κ there is an amenable group G such that $|G| = \kappa$ and $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G)$.

Cardinal characteristics of the ideal of Solecki null sets

For each infinite group G we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & 2^{|G|} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \omega & \longrightarrow & \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & |G| \end{array}$$

Example (Not exciting)

For any infinite countable group G

$$\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) < \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G).$$

If G is abelian, then $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ and $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = |G|$.

Example (Exciting)

For any infinite cardinal κ there is an amenable group G such that $|G| = \kappa$ and $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G)$.

Cardinal characteristics of the ideal of Solecki null sets

For each infinite group G we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & 2^{|G|} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \omega & \longrightarrow & \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & |G| \end{array}$$

Example (Not exciting)

For any infinite countable group G

$$\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) < \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G).$$

If G is abelian, then $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ and $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = |G|$.

Example (Exciting)

For any infinite cardinal κ there is an amenable group G such that $|G| = \kappa$ and $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G)$.

Cardinal characteristics of the ideal of Solecki null sets

For each infinite group G we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & 2^{|G|} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \omega & \longrightarrow & \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) & \longrightarrow & |G| \end{array}$$

Example (Not exciting)

For any infinite countable group G

$$\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G) < \text{cof}(\mathcal{S}_G).$$

If G is abelian, then $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ and $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) = |G|$.

Example (Exciting)

For any infinite cardinal κ there is an amenable group G such that $|G| = \kappa$ and $\omega = \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) = \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G)$.

The exciting example

In the group $G = FS_\kappa$ of finitely supported permutations of the cardinal κ consider the countable subgroup $H = FS_\omega$ consisting of all permutations $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ with finite support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \kappa : f(x) \neq x\} \subset \omega.$$

It can be shown that $\sigma(H) = 1$.

So, $H \notin \mathcal{S}_G$ and

$$\omega \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq |H| = \omega.$$

Problem

Calculate $\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ for the group FS_κ .

The exciting example

In the group $G = FS_\kappa$ of finitely supported permutations of the cardinal κ consider the countable subgroup $H = FS_\omega$ consisting of all permutations $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ with finite support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \kappa : f(x) \neq x\} \subset \omega.$$

It can be shown that $\sigma(H) = 1$.

So, $H \notin \mathcal{S}_G$ and

$$\omega \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq |H| = \omega.$$

Problem

Calculate $\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ for the group FS_κ .

The exciting example

In the group $G = FS_\kappa$ of finitely supported permutations of the cardinal κ consider the countable subgroup $H = FS_\omega$ consisting of all permutations $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ with finite support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \kappa : f(x) \neq x\} \subset \omega.$$

It can be shown that $\sigma(H) = 1$.

So, $H \notin \mathcal{S}_G$ and

$$\omega \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq |H| = \omega.$$

Problem

Calculate $\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ for the group FS_κ .

The exciting example

In the group $G = FS_\kappa$ of finitely supported permutations of the cardinal κ consider the countable subgroup $H = FS_\omega$ consisting of all permutations $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ with finite support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \kappa : f(x) \neq x\} \subset \omega.$$

It can be shown that $\sigma(H) = 1$.

So, $H \notin \mathcal{S}_G$ and

$$\omega \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq |H| = \omega.$$

Problem

Calculate $\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ for the group FS_κ .

The exciting example

In the group $G = FS_\kappa$ of finitely supported permutations of the cardinal κ consider the countable subgroup $H = FS_\omega$ consisting of all permutations $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ with finite support

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \kappa : f(x) \neq x\} \subset \omega.$$

It can be shown that $\sigma(H) = 1$.

So, $H \notin \mathcal{S}_G$ and

$$\omega \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \leq |H| = \omega.$$

Problem

Calculate $\text{cov}(\mathcal{S}_G)$ for the group FS_κ .

Theorem

If a group G admits a homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff group, then $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$.

Here $\text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the smallest cardinality of a cover of an infinite compact metrizable group by closed Haar null subsets.

This cardinal was thoroughly studied by Bartoszyński and Shelah.

Corollary

For any infinite cardinal κ the group FS_κ admits no homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff topological group.

So, the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G depends essentially on the topologizability properties of the group G .

Theorem

If a group G admits a homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff group, then $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$.

Here $\text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the smallest cardinality of a cover of an infinite compact metrizable group by closed Haar null subsets. This cardinal was thoroughly studied by Bartoszyński and Shelah.

Corollary

For any infinite cardinal κ the group FS_κ admits no homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff topological group.

So, the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G depends essentially on the topologizability properties of the group G .

Theorem

If a group G admits a homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff group, then $\text{non}(\mathcal{S}_G) \geq \text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$.

Here $\text{cov}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the smallest cardinality of a cover of an infinite compact metrizable group by closed Haar null subsets. This cardinal was thoroughly studied by Bartoszyński and Shelah.

Corollary

For any infinite cardinal κ the group FS_κ admits no homomorphism onto an infinite compact Hausdorff topological group.

So, the properties of the ideal \mathcal{S}_G depends essentially on the topologizability properties of the group G .

The Solecki submeasure σ versus the Haar measure λ

Let G be a compact topological group and λ be its Haar measure. For a subset $A \subset G$ let \bar{A} be the closure of A in X and A° (resp. A^\bullet) be the largest open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \setminus A$ is meager in G (resp. empty).

It is clear that A° is the interior of A and $A^\circ \subset A^\bullet \subset \bar{A}$.

Example: Each dense G_δ -set $A \subset G$ has $A^\bullet = G$.

Theorem

Any subset A of a compact topological group G has

$$\max\{\lambda_*(A), \lambda(A^\bullet)\} \leq \sigma(A) \leq \lambda(\bar{A}).$$

Here $\lambda_*(A) = \sup\{\lambda(B) : B \subset A \text{ is a Borel subset in } X\}$ is the lower Haar density of A .

The Solecki submeasure σ versus the Haar measure λ

Let G be a compact topological group and λ be its Haar measure. For a subset $A \subset G$ let \bar{A} be the closure of A in X and A^\bullet (resp. A°) be the largest open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \setminus A$ is meager in G (resp. empty).

It is clear that A° is the interior of A and $A^\circ \subset A^\bullet \subset \bar{A}$.

Example: Each dense G_δ -set $A \subset G$ has $A^\bullet = G$.

Theorem

Any subset A of a compact topological group G has

$$\max\{\lambda_*(A), \lambda(A^\bullet)\} \leq \sigma(A) \leq \lambda(\bar{A}).$$

Here $\lambda_*(A) = \sup\{\lambda(B) : B \subset A \text{ is a Borel subset in } X\}$ is the lower Haar density of A .

The Solecki submeasure σ versus the Haar measure λ

Let G be a compact topological group and λ be its Haar measure. For a subset $A \subset G$ let \bar{A} be the closure of A in X and A^\bullet (resp. A°) be the largest open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \setminus A$ is meager in G (resp. empty).

It is clear that A° is the interior of A and $A^\circ \subset A^\bullet \subset \bar{A}$.

Example: Each dense G_δ -set $A \subset G$ has $A^\bullet = G$.

Theorem

Any subset A of a compact topological group G has

$$\max\{\lambda_*(A), \lambda(A^\bullet)\} \leq \sigma(A) \leq \lambda(\bar{A}).$$

Here $\lambda_*(A) = \sup\{\lambda(B) : B \subset A \text{ is a Borel subset in } X\}$ is the lower Haar density of A .

The Solecki submeasure σ versus the Haar measure λ

Let G be a compact topological group and λ be its Haar measure. For a subset $A \subset G$ let \bar{A} be the closure of A in X and A^\bullet (resp. A°) be the largest open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \setminus A$ is meager in G (resp. empty).

It is clear that A° is the interior of A and $A^\circ \subset A^\bullet \subset \bar{A}$.

Example: Each dense G_δ -set $A \subset G$ has $A^\bullet = G$.

Theorem

Any subset A of a compact topological group G has

$$\max\{\lambda_*(A), \lambda(A^\bullet)\} \leq \sigma(A) \leq \lambda(\bar{A}).$$

Here $\lambda_*(A) = \sup\{\lambda(B) : B \subset A \text{ is a Borel subset in } X\}$ is the lower Haar density of A .

The Solecki submeasure σ versus the Haar measure λ

Let G be a compact topological group and λ be its Haar measure. For a subset $A \subset G$ let \bar{A} be the closure of A in X and A^\bullet (resp. A°) be the largest open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \setminus A$ is meager in G (resp. empty).

It is clear that A° is the interior of A and $A^\circ \subset A^\bullet \subset \bar{A}$.

Example: Each dense G_δ -set $A \subset G$ has $A^\bullet = G$.

Theorem

Any subset A of a compact topological group G has

$$\max\{\lambda_*(A), \lambda(A^\bullet)\} \leq \sigma(A) \leq \lambda(\bar{A}).$$

Here $\lambda_*(A) = \sup\{\lambda(B) : B \subset A \text{ is a Borel subset in } X\}$ is the lower Haar density of A .

The Haar measure is determined by the Solecki submeasure

Corollary

Each *closed* subset A of a compact topological group G has $\sigma(A) = \lambda(A)$.

This means that the Haar measure λ is completely determined by the Solecki submeasure:

Theorem

For a compact Hausdorff topological group G its Haar measure is a unique regular σ -additive Borel measure λ such that $\lambda(A) = \sigma(A)$ for each closed subset $A \subset G$.

So, the Haar measure, being a topologo-algebraic object has more essential algebraic component than could be expected.

The Haar measure is determined by the Solecki submeasure

Corollary

Each *closed* subset A of a compact topological group G has $\sigma(A) = \lambda(A)$.

This means that the Haar measure λ is completely determined by the Solecki submeasure:

Theorem

For a compact Hausdorff topological group G its Haar measure is a unique regular σ -additive Borel measure λ such that $\lambda(A) = \sigma(A)$ for each closed subset $A \subset G$.

So, the Haar measure, being a topologo-algebraic object has more essential algebraic component than could be expected.

The Haar measure is determined by the Solecki submeasure

Corollary

Each *closed* subset A of a compact topological group G has $\sigma(A) = \lambda(A)$.

This means that the Haar measure λ is completely determined by the Solecki submeasure:

Theorem

For a compact Hausdorff topological group G its Haar measure is a unique regular σ -additive Borel measure λ such that $\lambda(A) = \sigma(A)$ for each closed subset $A \subset G$.

So, the Haar measure, being a topologo-algebraic object has more essential algebraic component than could be expected.

Ramsey Applications of the Solecki submeasure

Van der Waerden and Gallai's Theorem

Theorem (Van der Waerden, 1927)

For any partition $\mathbb{Z} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of integers there is a cell A_i of the partition containing arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

This theorem can be deduced from a more general:

Theorem (Gallai, ≤ 1933)

For any finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ there is a cell A_i of the partition containing the homothetic copy of each finite set $F \subset G$.

Van der Waerden and Gallai's Theorem

Theorem (Van der Waerden, 1927)

For any partition $\mathbb{Z} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of integers there is a cell A_i of the partition containing arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

This theorem can be deduced from a more general:

Theorem (Gallai, ≤ 1933)

For any finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$ there is a cell A_i of the partition containing the homothetic copy of each finite set $F \subset G$.

Homothetic properties of subsets in groups

By a **homothetic copy** of a set F in a group G we understand the image $h(F)$ of F under a polynomial map $h : G \rightarrow G$ of the form $h(x) = a_0xa_1 \dots a_{n-1}xa_n$ for some constants $c_0, \dots, c_n \in G$.

If $n = 1$, then $h(x) = c_0xc_1$ and we say that $h(F) = c_0Fc_1$ is a **translation copy** of the set F .

Homothetic properties of subsets in groups

By a **homothetic copy** of a set F in a group G we understand the image $h(F)$ of F under a polynomial map $h : G \rightarrow G$ of the form $h(x) = a_0xa_1 \dots a_{n-1}xa_n$ for some constants $c_0, \dots, c_n \in G$.

If $n = 1$, then $h(x) = c_0xc_1$ and we say that $h(F) = c_0Fc_1$ is a **translation copy** of the set F .

Generalizing Van der Waerden and Gallai Theorem

Theorem (B., 2012)

If a subset A of a group G is:

- Solecki **one**, then A contains a **translation** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$;
- Solecki **positive**, then A contains a **homothetic** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

This theorem combined with the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure implies the following generalization of Gallai's Theorem:

Corollary

For any finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of any group G there is a cell A_i of the partition containing a homothetic copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

Generalizing Van der Waerden and Gallai Theorem

Theorem (B., 2012)

If a subset A of a group G is:

- Solecki **one**, then A contains a **translation** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$;
- Solecki **positive**, then A contains a **homothetic** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

This theorem combined with the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure implies the following generalization of Gallai's Theorem:

Corollary

For any finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of any group G there is a cell A_i of the partition containing a homothetic copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

Generalizing Van der Waerden and Gallai Theorem

Theorem (B., 2012)

If a subset A of a group G is:

- Solecki **one**, then A contains a **translation** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$;
- Solecki **positive**, then A contains a **homothetic** copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

This theorem combined with the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure implies the following generalization of Gallai's Theorem:

Corollary

For any finite partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of any group G there is a cell A_i of the partition containing a homothetic copy of each finite subset $F \subset G$.

Theorem (Green, Tao, 2008)

The set of prime numbers contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Unfortunately, this theorem cannot be deduced from our result because of:

Proposition

The set of primes is Solecki null in the group \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem (Green, Tao, 2008)

The set of prime numbers contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Unfortunately, this theorem cannot be deduced from our result because of:

Proposition

The set of primes is Solecki null in the group \mathbb{Z} .

Steinhaus-Weil Theorem

Theorem (Steinhaus-Weil)

For any measurable subset A of positive Haar measure $\lambda(A)$ in a compact topological group G the difference set AA^{-1} is a neighborhood of zero in G .

Problem

Can the Haar measure in this theorem be replaced with the Solecki submeasure σ or the right Solecki density σ^R ?

Answer

*Partially **Yes!** (for the right Solecki density σ^R).*

Steinhaus-Weil Theorem

Theorem (Steinhaus-Weil)

For any measurable subset A of positive Haar measure $\lambda(A)$ in a compact topological group G the difference set AA^{-1} is a neighborhood of zero in G .

Problem

Can the Haar measure in this theorem be replaced with the Solecki submeasure σ or the right Solecki density σ^R ?

Answer

*Partially **Yes!** (for the right Solecki density σ^R).*

Steinhaus-Weil Theorem

Theorem (Steinhaus-Weil)

For any measurable subset A of positive Haar measure $\lambda(A)$ in a compact topological group G the difference set AA^{-1} is a neighborhood of zero in G .

Problem

Can the Haar measure in this theorem be replaced with the Solecki submeasure σ or the right Solecki density σ^R ?

Answer

*Partially **Yes!** (for the right Solecki density σ^R).*

Right-Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **right-Solecki null** if $\sigma^R(A) = 0$;
- **right-Solecki positive** if $\sigma^R(A) > 0$;
- **right-Solecki one** if $\sigma^R(A) = 1$ (equivalently, if $\sigma_R(A) = 1$).

Right-Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is right-Solecki one iff for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there is a point $y \in G$ such that $Fy \subset A$.

Right-Solecki one, null, and positive sets

A subset A of a group G is called

- **right-Solecki null** if $\sigma^R(A) = 0$;
- **right-Solecki positive** if $\sigma^R(A) > 0$;
- **right-Solecki one** if $\sigma^R(A) = 1$ (equivalently, if $\sigma_R(A) = 1$).

Right-Solecki one sets can be characterized as follows:

Proposition

A subset A of a group G is right-Solecki one iff for each finite subset $F \subset G$ there is a point $y \in G$ such that $Fy \subset A$.

Right Solecki density and packing index

For a subset A of a group G the cardinal

- $\text{pack}_L(A) = \sup\{|E| : E \subset G \text{ } (xA)_{x \in E} \text{ is disjoint}\}$
is called the **left packing index** of A ;
- $\text{cov}_L(A) = \min\{|E| : E \subset G, EA = G\}$
is called the **left covering number** of A .

Theorem

$$\text{cov}_L(AA^{-1}) \leq \text{pack}_L(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)}.$$

Corollary

If an (analytic) subset A of a Polish group G is right-Solecki positive, then AA^{-1} is not meager (and $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit) in G .

Right Solecki density and packing index

For a subset A of a group G the cardinal

- $\text{pack}_L(A) = \sup\{|E| : E \subset G \text{ } (xA)_{x \in E} \text{ is disjoint}\}$
is called the **left packing index** of A ;
- $\text{cov}_L(A) = \min\{|E| : E \subset G, EA = G\}$
is called the **left covering number** of A .

Theorem

$$\text{cov}_L(AA^{-1}) \leq \text{pack}_L(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)}.$$

Corollary

If an (analytic) subset A a Polish group G is right-Solecki positive, then AA^{-1} is not meager (and $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit) in G .

Right Solecki density and packing index

For a subset A of a group G the cardinal

- $\text{pack}_L(A) = \sup\{|E| : E \subset G \text{ } (xA)_{x \in E} \text{ is disjoint}\}$
is called the **left packing index** of A ;
- $\text{cov}_L(A) = \min\{|E| : E \subset G, EA = G\}$
is called the **left covering number** of A .

Theorem

$$\text{cov}_L(AA^{-1}) \leq \text{pack}_L(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)}.$$

Corollary

If an (analytic) subset A of a Polish group G is right-Solecki positive, then AA^{-1} is not meager (and $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit) in G .

Right Solecki density and packing index

For a subset A of a group G the cardinal

- $\text{pack}_L(A) = \sup\{|E| : E \subset G \text{ } (xA)_{x \in E} \text{ is disjoint}\}$
is called the **left packing index** of A ;
- $\text{cov}_L(A) = \min\{|E| : E \subset G, EA = G\}$
is called the **left covering number** of A .

Theorem

$$\text{cov}_L(AA^{-1}) \leq \text{pack}_L(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)}.$$

Corollary

If an (analytic) subset A a Polish group G is right-Solecki positive, then AA^{-1} is not meager (and $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit) in G .

Protasov's Problem

Problem (Protasov)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a finite partition of a group G . Is $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i ?

Theorem (Protasov-B., ≤ 2003)

For any partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of a group G there is $i \leq n$ such that $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq 2^{2^{n-1}-1}$.

Protasov's Problem

Problem (Protasov)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a finite partition of a group G . Is $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i ?

Theorem (Protasov-B., ≤ 2003)

For any partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ of a group G there is $i \leq n$ such that $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq 2^{2^{n-1}-1}$.

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. \square

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. \square

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. \square

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. \square

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. \square

A partial answer to Protasov's Problem

A subset $A \subset G$ is called *inner-invariant* if $\forall x \in G \quad xAx^{-1} = A$.

Theorem (B.-Protasov-Slobodianiuk, 2013)

Let $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ be a partition of a group G . If G is Solecki-amenable or all sets A_i are inner-invariant, then $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq n$ for some i .

Proof.

If G is Solecki-amenable, then the right Solecki submeasure σ_R is subadditive and then $\sigma_R(A_i) \geq 1/n$ for some i and hence

$$\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_R(A)} \leq n.$$

If each set A_i is inner-invariant, then $\sigma(A_i) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for some i by the subadditivity of the Solecki submeasure. The inner invariance of A_i implies that $\sigma^R(A_i) = \sigma(A_i) \geq 1/n$ and $\text{cov}_L(A_i A_i^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^R(A)} \leq n$. □

The Bohr topology on a group

The **Bohr topology** on a group G is the largest totally bounded group topology on G .

Equivalently, it can be defined as the smallest topology on G in which every homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is continuous.

In this case we can assume that $K = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$.

Elements of the Bohr topology are called **Bohr open subsets** of G .

The Bohr topology on a group

The **Bohr topology** on a group G is the largest totally bounded group topology on G .

Equivalently, it can be defined as the smallest topology on G in which every homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is continuous.

It this case we can assume that $K = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$.

Elements of the Bohr topology are called **Bohr open subsets** of G .

The Bohr topology on a group

The **Bohr topology** on a group G is the largest totally bounded group topology on G .

Equivalently, it can be defined as the smallest topology on G in which every homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is continuous.

In this case we can assume that $K = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$.

Elements of the Bohr topology are called **Bohr open subsets** of G .

The Bohr topology on a group

The **Bohr topology** on a group G is the largest totally bounded group topology on G .

Equivalently, it can be defined as the smallest topology on G in which every homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is continuous.

In this case we can assume that $K = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} O(n)$.

Elements of the Bohr topology are called **Bohr open subsets** of G .

Difference sets and Bohr open sets

Now we shall generalize results of Bogoliuboff, Følner, Cotlar, Ricabarra (1954), Ellis, Keynes (1972), Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For each right-Solecki positive set A in an amenable group G there are a Bohr open neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the unit 1_G and a right-Solecki null subset $N \subset G$ such that $U \setminus N \subset AA^{-1}$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive set A, B in an amenable group G the set $B^{-1}AA^{-1}$ has non-empty interior and $AA^{-1}BB^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on the group G .

Problem (Ellis)

Is AA^{-1} is Bohr neighborhood of the unit for each right-Solecki positive set A in the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$?

Difference sets and Bohr open sets

Now we shall generalize results of Bogoliuboff, Følner, Cotlar, Ricabarra (1954), Ellis, Keynes (1972), Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For each right-Solecki positive set A in an amenable group G there are a Bohr open neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the unit 1_G and a right-Solecki null subset $N \subset G$ such that $U \setminus N \subset AA^{-1}$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive set A, B in an amenable group G the set $B^{-1}AA^{-1}$ has non-empty interior and $AA^{-1}BB^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on the group G .

Problem (Ellis)

Is AA^{-1} is Bohr neighborhood of the unit for each right-Solecki positive set A in the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$?

Difference sets and Bohr open sets

Now we shall generalize results of Bogoliuboff, Følner, Cotlar, Ricabarra (1954), Ellis, Keynes (1972), Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For each right-Solecki positive set A in an amenable group G there are a Bohr open neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the unit 1_G and a right-Solecki null subset $N \subset G$ such that $U \setminus N \subset AA^{-1}$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive set A, B in an amenable group G the set $B^{-1}AA^{-1}$ has non-empty interior and $AA^{-1}BB^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on the group G .

Problem (Ellis)

Is AA^{-1} is Bohr neighborhood of the unit for each right-Solecki positive set A in the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$?

Difference sets and Bohr open sets

Now we shall generalize results of Bogoliuboff, Følner, Cotlar, Ricabarra (1954), Ellis, Keynes (1972), Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For each right-Solecki positive set A in an amenable group G there are a Bohr open neighborhood $U \subset G$ of the unit 1_G and a right-Solecki null subset $N \subset G$ such that $U \setminus N \subset AA^{-1}$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive set A, B in an amenable group G the set $B^{-1}AA^{-1}$ has non-empty interior and $AA^{-1}BB^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on the group G .

Problem (Ellis)

Is AA^{-1} is Bohr neighborhood of the unit for each right-Solecki positive set A in the group $G = \mathbb{Z}$?

Sumsets and Bohr open sets

The following theorem generalizes results of Jin (2002) and Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive sets A, B in an amenable group G the sumset AB contains the intersection $U \cap T$ for some non-empty Bohr open set U and some right-Solecki one set $T \subset G$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive sets A, B in an amenable group G the set $ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on G .

Sumsets and Bohr open sets

The following theorem generalizes results of Jin (2002) and Beiglböck, Bergelson, Fish (2010).

Theorem (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive sets A, B in an amenable group G the sumset AB contains the intersection $U \cap T$ for some non-empty Bohr open set U and some right-Solecki one set $T \subset G$.

Corollary (B., 2013)

For any right-Solecki positive sets A, B in an amenable group G the set $ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$ is a neighborhood of the unit 1_G in the Bohr topology on G .

Groups with trivial Bohr topology

The Bohr topology on G will be called **trivial** if the only Bohr open subsets of G are \emptyset and G .

The Bohr topology on a group G is trivial if and only if each homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is constant.

Examples of groups with trivial Bohr topology are:

- the group S_X of all permutations of an infinite set X ;
- the group A_X of all even finitely supported permutations of an infinite set X .

The group A_X is locally finite and hence amenable.

Groups with trivial Bohr topology

The Bohr topology on G will be called **trivial** if the only Bohr open subsets of G are \emptyset and G .

The Bohr topology on a group G is trivial if and only if each homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is constant.

Examples of groups with trivial Bohr topology are:

- the group S_X of all permutations of an infinite set X ;
- the group A_X of all even finitely supported permutations of an infinite set X .

The group A_X is locally finite and hence amenable.

Groups with trivial Bohr topology

The Bohr topology on G will be called **trivial** if the only Bohr open subsets of G are \emptyset and G .

The Bohr topology on a group G is trivial if and only if each homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is constant.

Examples of groups with trivial Bohr topology are:

- the group S_X of all permutations of an infinite set X ;
- the group A_X of all even finitely supported permutations of an infinite set X .

The group A_X is locally finite and hence amenable.

Groups with trivial Bohr topology

The Bohr topology on G will be called **trivial** if the only Bohr open subsets of G are \emptyset and G .

The Bohr topology on a group G is trivial if and only if each homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is constant.

Examples of groups with trivial Bohr topology are:

- the group S_X of all permutations of an infinite set X ;
- the group A_X of all even finitely supported permutations of an infinite set X .

The group A_X is locally finite and hence amenable.

Groups with trivial Bohr topology

The Bohr topology on G will be called **trivial** if the only Bohr open subsets of G are \emptyset and G .

The Bohr topology on a group G is trivial if and only if each homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow K$ to a compact Hausdorff topological group K is constant.

Examples of groups with trivial Bohr topology are:

- the group S_X of all permutations of an infinite set X ;
- the group A_X of all even finitely supported permutations of an infinite set X .

The group A_X is locally finite and hence amenable.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Characterizing amenable groups with trivial Bohr topology

Theorem

If an amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology, then for any right-Solecki positive sets $A, B \subset G$ we get

- 1 AB is right-Solecki one and $G \setminus AA^{-1}$ is right-Solecki null;
- 2 $G = B^{-1}AA^{-1} = AA^{-1}A = ABB^{-1}A^{-1}$.

Theorem

An amenable group G has trivial Bohr topology iff for every partition $G = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ there is a cell A_i with $A_i A_i^{-1} A_i = G$.

A group G is **odd** if every element of G has odd order.

Theorem (B.-Nykyforchyn-Gavrylkiv, 2008)

A group G is odd iff for any partition $G = A_1 \cup A_2$ there is a cell A_i of the partition such that $A_i A_i^{-1} = G$.

Some corollaries for permutation groups

Corollary

If a subset A of an infinite alternating group $G = A_X$ is right-Solecki positive, then $AA^{-1}A = G$.

Problem

Is $AA^{-1}A = G$ for each (inner-invariant) right-Solecki positive set A in an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$?

Applying some results of Bergman (2006) it is possible to prove:

Theorem (B., 2013)

For any inner-invariant Solecki positive subset A of an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$ we get $(AA^{-1})^{18} = G$.

Some corollaries for permutation groups

Corollary

If a subset A of an infinite alternating group $G = A_X$ is right-Solecki positive, then $AA^{-1}A = G$.

Problem

Is $AA^{-1}A = G$ for each (inner-invariant) right-Solecki positive set A in an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$?

Applying some results of Bergman (2006) it is possible to prove:

Theorem (B., 2013)

For any inner-invariant Solecki positive subset A of an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$ we get $(AA^{-1})^{18} = G$.

Some corollaries for permutation groups

Corollary

If a subset A of an infinite alternating group $G = A_X$ is right-Solecki positive, then $AA^{-1}A = G$.

Problem

Is $AA^{-1}A = G$ for each (inner-invariant) right-Solecki positive set A in an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$?

Applying some results of Bergman (2006) it is possible to prove:

Theorem (B., 2013)

For any inner-invariant Solecki positive subset A of an infinite permutation group $G = S_X$ we get $(AA^{-1})^{18} = G$.

Two Open Problems

Problem

Is there a group G such that $\sigma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any subset $A \subset G$?

Problem

Let H be a meager analytic subgroup of a compact topological group G . Is H Solecki null? (Yes, if G is abelian).

Two Open Problems

Problem

Is there a group G such that $\sigma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any subset $A \subset G$?

Problem

Let H be a meager analytic subgroup of a compact topological group G . Is H Solecki null? (Yes, if G is abelian).

Two Open Problems

Problem

Is there a group G such that $\sigma(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ for any subset $A \subset G$?

Problem

Let H be a meager analytic subgroup of a compact topological group G . Is H Solecki null? (Yes, if G is abelian).



T.Banakh, *Solecki submeasures and densities on groups*, preprint (arXiv:1211.0717).

* * *

Thanks!



T.Banakh, *Solecki submeasures and densities on groups*, preprint (arXiv:1211.0717).

* * *

Thanks!